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1. Introduction

Acting with Academic Integrity means acting in all academic matters with honesty, trust, fairness, respect, responsibility, and courage.

Instructors moving their courses to online/remote learning, may have questions regarding Academic Integrity (AI), especially if this is an unfamiliar assessment environment. This resource provides information and strategies that may be helpful to address AI at all stages in your online/remote course delivery, primarily focused on online assessments. Some of these strategies are adapted from the Smart Strategies section of the University of Toronto’s Academic Integrity website to suit the online teaching and assessment environment.

2. Overview of Online Assessment Options

This resource focuses on two common online assessment types that are fully supported in Quercus, U of T’s Learning Management System: (1) assignments and (2) online exams/quizzes. Examples of effective practices that support Academic Integrity are included throughout this resource. Importantly, as you make assessment choices for the online/remote context, be cognizant of your students’ learning context. Many are participating in online/remote courses...
for the first time and may not be fully prepared or equipped for this mode of delivery. With any choice of online assessment:

- Keep in mind the technical resources and the online learning skills that students will need to succeed in your course.
- Plan to provide extra support and scaffolding as students adjust to learning online/remotely.
- Consider which type of technology tool might be best for the goals of your assessment.

Other forms of online assessment you might more fully explore with your Divisional educational support, teaching centres and/or colleagues in your discipline include:

- **Presentations**: students (individually or in groups) submit an electronic ‘narrated presentation’ that can be assessed on the content and presentation techniques. Record their asynchronous presentations using SnagIt or present synchronous presentations via Zoom or Microsoft Teams.
- **Portfolio, logbook or assessment notebook**: students may submit a link to their electronic portfolios. Note that there is also an e-portfolio tool on Quercus that students can use to assemble their artifacts.
- **Assessed seminars, group discussions and other similar activities**: use synchronous (live) discussion activities (Zoom or Microsoft Teams) or use asynchronous (recorded) discussion activities (Quercus discussion boards).
- **Lab work**: Use simulations to replicate some aspects of lab work. These can be done remotely in which students are presented with data sets (which were produced elsewhere) and required to comment on/interpret them.
- **Posters**: students submit their posters in a digital format e.g. infographic, mind map, etc.

### 3. Academic Integrity is a Shared Responsibility

While the University of Toronto takes the position that it is the student’s responsibility to know the **Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters** and understand what constitutes an academic offence, instructors have a role to play in educating their students about the principles of academic integrity, to direct them to the appropriate resources and supports, and to play a role in preventing AI cases. We recommend taking a student-centred and supportive approach while addressing the AI topic. We recommend clearly setting your expectations for students so that they understand their role in adhering to the Code. For courses in Quercus, every course shell includes a set of menu options on the right-hand side, including U of T information about Academic Integrity.
Please consult Appendix 1 for sample U of T Academic Integrity Statements to include in your online quizzes and assignments.

Consider:

- Setting the tone is important, just as it is on the first day of a face-to-face course.
- Students look for you to provide direction, provide feedback and encouragement, and guide them on their course journey.
- Students arrive in their courses with differing AI experiences. Perhaps they are students who have not yet written an essay in their time at U of T or are international students whose previous educational experiences included different assessment procedures and processes. Each instructor has varied approaches to AI and students should be informed early in the course what these expectations entail.
- Frequent, low-stakes assessment methods can be very helpful in addressing student stress and possible temptation to violate the AI Code.

Try this:

- Introduce AI early and often in your course.
- Clearly convey that you are available to discuss AI at any time during the course.
- Check with your Division for requirements on what to include in your course documents regarding AI (e.g., syllabus).
- Guide your students through the AI section in your Quercus course and highlight key sections in the AI Code – use live or recorded formats.
- Share definitions and examples of AI terms such as plagiarism, paraphrase, summarize, editing and proofreading for written assignments, and definitions/examples to illustrate what is considered cheating in collaborative work or in test/exam scenarios. For written assignments in particular, communicate with your class about the meaning of citations and why they are made. Allow students to ask clarification questions through online discussions. Find helpful resources on the U of T AI site.
- Review the Plagiarism Detection Tool conditions of use posted in all Quercus courses (right side menu). If you are using Plagiarism Detection Tool, you must notify your students in your course syllabus.
- Embed library and writing centre support in your Quercus course. Stress the importance of using these research and writing supports to build their confidence in these skills. Focus on the learning aspect.
- Ensure you discuss AI with your Teaching Assistants and their role in educating and adhering to the Code in their tutorials, labs and grading processes. Explain and discuss
How to:

- Create an AI page in your Quercus course and place it in a prominent location (e.g., home page). View an example of an AI Page in a sample course template for Quercus.
- Integrate AI discussions throughout your course. For ideas on how to inform, prepare and discuss AI topics with your students please read.

4. Assignments and Evaluations

We recommend you consider ways that you can deter common AI occurrences in essay and research-paper assignments by the way they are designed. For example, you may choose to use Plagiarism Detection Tool, a textual-similarity detection tool as an efficient way to identify common and plagiarism in course assignments. Or, you may reach out to your divisional educational technology contacts for discipline-specific detection tools.

Consider:

- Frequently share/discuss your course learning outcomes with your students.
- Scaffold or build assignments so that students are working towards a final product for submission. This effective approach benefits the students’ writing and learning and also creates authentic conditions that are more likely to deter AI issues.
- Check-in and communicate regularly with students during the assignment time period. This way, students will be less likely to seek out their peers for (mis)information.
- Provide rubrics and grading criteria to ensure students know what is expected of them in the assessment, also reducing inappropriate or misguided peer-peer communication.
- Anticipate the need for accommodation of students with disabilities completing online assessments and be prepared to provide alternatives.

Try this:

- Explore the idea of changing assessment entirely to adopt more authentic demonstrations of knowledge and skills as described in this resource, rather than moving traditional assessment online.
- Introduce your students to the overarching learning outcomes and goals for the course. Students appreciate knowing that activities and assessments in the course are intentionally chosen – remind them how each aligns with learning goals.
  - Become familiar with Bloom’s Taxonomy to create assignment and exam questions. Read UTM resource: Creating Pedagogically Comprehensive Take Home Exams/Timed Exams for Online Delivery: 1-2-3 Guide.
- Authentic assessment is an effective way to reduce cases of AI and draws on Bloom’s Taxonomy.
• Map out scaffolded assignments so that students’ work is clearly drawing on their previous outlines, drafts and bibliographies submitted in the course.
  • You might request that students submit earlier draft materials throughout the course, to help ensure they are completing the work on their own and to schedule.
• Students left on their own for large assignments tend to get into trouble, including plagiarism. Here are some alternatives.
• Make assignments specific to your course experience. Base them on material covered in classes and tutorials (including class discussions and student presentations), not solely on extra reading or out-of-class work. Also make clear that tests and exams will require mastery of work completed for assignments.
• Ask real questions in your discipline, and let students know that you expect engaged critical thinking. Encourage speculation based on evidence and reasoning, not just compilation of existing information or expression of unsupported personal opinion.
• Create a Plagiarism Detection Tool Assignment in your Quercus course to which students submit their assignments electronically for analysis.
• Consider using an AI Checklist (Appendix 2). Students can submit the checklist with their assignments throughout your course. This checklist would be discussed well in advance of the assignment to educate and prepare students adequately for what they are agreeing to do to address AI.
• Review the Accessibility Checklist for Faculty: Planning for Online Courses.
• Consult ‘15 Strategies to Detect Contract Cheating’ for additional ideas to ensure AI is upheld.

How to:

• Use the Assignments Tool on Quercus (video).
• Tips for designing quiz, assignment questions:
  • Use this Blooms Taxonomy resource to select questions for creating exam and assignments.
  • Review the Association of American Colleges and University’s repository of rubrics examples - these can be modified based on your context.
  • Visit the Quercus rubrics page for more information on how to create, add, and manage rubrics in your course.
  • Learn to manage rubrics once they are in your online Quercus course.

5. Online Exams/Tests/Quizzes and Delivery: Quizzes Tool in Quercus

The Quercus Quizzes tool can be used for automated online tests, including multiple choice and other common question types. The features available in the Quercus Quizzes tool allow for
assessment design that mitigates academic dishonesty – see links to resources that address this in the “How To” section below.

Consider:

- Students may experience technical difficulties, interruptions, or other challenges that prevent them from completing the exam on the first try.
- Offer a lower-stakes online assessment early in the course to allow students to ‘try out’ tools that you are using before using the online tools for higher-stakes tests.
- When designing your tests/quizzes, focus your test items on students’ ability to synthesize and apply, rather than test on discrete pieces of information they will likely forget.
- Review and carefully consider the ways that your own previously developed in-person exams may be adapted for online formats but avoid reusing questions from previous years’ exams, which may be available online with answers provided.
- Be very cautious in selecting textbook-provided questions, or ‘exam bank’ or ‘test bank’ questions that are easily available online with answers provided.
- Provide clear exam/test instructions and directions.
- Be cautious of extended time periods to complete online tests and exams, such as 24-hour take-home exams (unless particular students have accessibility needs.)

Try this:

- The Quercus Quizzes tool is ideal for low-to-mid stakes assessments. Use Quizzes in Quercus for lower-stakes and more frequent assessments throughout the course.
- Allow multiple attempts and free navigation among other options.
- Consider a trial run of your timed test/exam with a colleague.
- Take steps to ensure your students are optimally prepared for your online test/quiz/exam. Include the following information in the Quercus Quiz instructions:
  - Instructions: Provide clear instructions for students on how they should proceed with the online test or exam, including start and stop times.
  - Contact Information: Phone number, email where you (or an assigned TA) can be immediately reached if a student experiences a technical issue during the exam.
  - Best Practice: For longer quiz responses (free-form) suggest that students create their responses in a Word document and paste these into the Quercus Quiz text box (e.g., in case their Wi-fi connection is lost mid-way through the test/exam). Please note that Quercus does autosave but this is an additional recommendation.
- Through the use of features such as question randomization in the Quercus Quiz tool you are able to create a number of different versions of the same quiz, test or exam, with the questions randomized in these versions.
How to:

- Select from a wide range of Quercus Quizzes item formats (e.g., multiple choice, true-false, numerical answer).
- Create an Automated Online Timed Test: use the Quercus Quizzes Tool (video):
  - includes directions on using quiz configuration strategies (e.g., randomization of questions; time limits).
  - Use Quercus Quiz Settings to maximize security.
- Quercus Quizzes includes configuration strategies to ensure students with accommodation needs are met (e.g., longer timed exam).

6. Online Proctoring (eProctoring)

Online proctoring (eProctoring) provides additional rigour to support AI in online exams on a fee for service basis. There are a number of different methods including live proctoring and review of a recording by a human proctor after completion. If there are specific courses that have unique needs (high impact, admissions prerequisite, program needs), supervision and/or review by a remote but live proctor, in combination with the online exam implemented in Quercus using the Quiz tool, is a possibility.

However, you should contact your Vice-Dean Education, or equivalent, for guidance and approval.

Consider:

For the following reasons eProctoring is not a recommended scalable approach:

- Inadequate bandwidth and equipment, or geographical location may impact student ability to meet technical requirements.
- Accommodations must be provided for students with accessibility needs.
- Students may be anxious regarding the technical aspects of taking an online exam with a remote proctor or recording.
- It is difficult to onboard large numbers of students simultaneously (200+), as vendor technical support may become a bottleneck. Rolling start times within a given window may be necessary.
- Set up of online proctoring requires considerable effort on the part of the instructor to craft communication, prepare students, and develop an exam within system constraints.
- Avoid use of platforms that are not institutionally approved and supported for online proctoring use at the University of Toronto (e.g., Zoom or TopHat). Be aware that low or no cost services are very easy to manipulate (e.g., use of pre-recorded video of working at computer, adjusting of camera to not show ‘cheat sheets’).
Try this:

- Follow these suggested strategies to ensure students are comfortable and able to succeed in this online assessment process.

How to:

- **Read** about service providers fully vetted by U of T for information security and data management practices, and contact information to learn more.
- Digital Learning Initiatives Portfolio will provide consultation and support for eProctoring implementation where divisional approval has been received. Contact the Director of Digital Learning Initiatives or online.learning@utoronto.ca for more information.

7. Academic Integrity Supports for Instructors and Students

As instructors and students engage in the remote/online environment, U of T is offering comprehensive supports as you navigate institutional expectations, processes, and learn the norms of a particular discipline.

Consider:

- Collaborate with colleagues across U of T to tap into existing approaches to existing best practices in assignment and assessment design.
- Register for upcoming CTSI webinars for design and technical guidance.
- View CTSI and/or peer institution recording or live webinar with colleague(s) and discuss together applications to your own courses/discipline.

Try this:

- Connect with your Liaison Librarian as early as possible in your course. This librarian assistance ensures you are taking a proactive, educational approach to AI:
  - For planning your assignments
  - For in-class presentations customized to your assignments addressing the information skills your students will need to be successful in your course.
  - For sharing a wide range of Short instructional videos.
- Integrate the writing centre and its student supports into your course to ensure students are taught appropriate research writing and referencing/citation for your discipline.
• Regularly remind students of writing centre supports and the value of engaging with these support staff to build one’s confidence in research and writing techniques that may reduce AI offences.
• Contact your divisional Educational Technology support staff for recommended AI technology support.

How to:

• For full eProctoring details on divisional implementation see the Ed Tech Catalogue located within the Quercus Support Resources.
• Provide a link on your Quercus course to an online readiness guide for students: Instructor Resource to Help Students: 5 Tips for Students
• If you have questions or need support with Quercus, identify and contact your divisional support team or email q.help@utoronto.ca.

8. How to Handle a Suspected Academic Offence

Instructors who have questions about the process for the handling of academic offences should consult the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters and www.academicintegrity.utoronto.ca, or contact the individual responsible for Academic Integrity within their department/division (in many cases this would be the undergraduate chair or associate chair/dean). In addition, divisional Academic Integrity offices in the largest divisions are able to provide advice regarding particular Academic Integrity issues or to discuss a specific situation. These include:

• **FAS**: Office of Student Academic Integrity, Faculty of Arts & Science at 416-946-0428 or osai.artsci@utoronto.ca
• **UTM**: Lisa Devereaux (Manager, Academic Affairs, Office of the Dean, UTM) at 905-569-4284 or lisa.devereaux@utoronto.ca; or Lucy Gaspini (Director, Academic Success & Integrity, Office of the Dean, UTM) at 905-828-3964 or lucy.gaspini@utoronto.ca
• **UTSC**: Prof. John Hannigan, Prof. Christine Berkowitz & Prof. Nick Cheng (UTSC Dean’s Designates for the Administration of the Code) at academic-integrity@utsc.utoronto.ca
• **Engineering**: Contact academic.integrity@ecf.utoronto.ca

As an Instructor, if you suspect an academic offence has taken place, you are encouraged to follow the steps set out at:

9. Appendix 1: Sample Academic Integrity Statements

University of Toronto (Recommended Academic Statement):

[Place this U of T Academic Integrity Statement on the cover page of quizzes, exams and assignments]:

“In submitting this [quiz, exam or assignment], I confirm that my conduct during this [quiz, exam or assignment] adheres to the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. I confirm that I did NOT act in such a way that would constitute cheating, misrepresentation, or unfairness, including but not limited to, using unauthorized aids and assistance, personating another person, and committing plagiarism.”

Adapted from the University of Saskatchewan:

[Place this U of T Academic Integrity Statement on the cover page of quizzes, exams and assignments]:

The following is what I expect of students in this course for your own learning and benefit of all the students in this course.

As a student (insert name) in this course, I am committed:

- To sustain my effort and engagement for the learning in this course.
- To ask questions about the purpose of or criteria for an assessment if I am uncertain.
- To ask questions about the rules surrounding the assessment if I am uncertain.
- To follow the rules for the assessment, including under conditions of no supervision.
- To treat my personal learning as valuable it its own right.

I submit this assessment, acknowledging the above commitments and that I have followed the rules outlined by my instructor and consistent with the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters.

(student name/student number/date)

Sample Academic Integrity Statement: Template developed by Professor Nicholas Rule, Interim Vice-Dean, Undergraduate, Faculty of Arts and Science, April 3, 2020

Like the statement adapted from the University of Saskatchewan, this statement asks the student to confirm that they have followed academic integrity policy. In this particular example, the student is asked to do this twice; once at the start of the test and again at the end.

For the beginning of the assessment:

We at U of T want you to feel proud of what you accomplish as students. Please respect all of the hard work you’ve done this year as you complete the following assessment of your learning
by making sure that the work you do here is your own. We don’t expect you to score perfectly on this assessment and there will be some things that you may not know. Using an unauthorized resource or asking someone else for the answer robs you of the chance later to feel proud of how well you did because you’ll know that it wasn’t really your work that got you there. Success in university isn’t about getting a certain mark, it’s about becoming the very best person you can by enriching yourself with knowledge, strengthening yourself with skills, and building a healthy self-esteem based on how much you’ve grown and achieved. No one assessment captures that but your conscience will stay with you forever. Make yourself and your loved ones proud of the student that you are by conducting yourself honestly on this assessment.

I, [Please type full first name, last name, and any initials], University of Toronto student number [Please enter full student number], pledge to honour myself and my community by assuring that the work I do on this assessment fully represents my own knowledge and ideas. I will feel proud of my work here when I am done because I know that it was my own and only mine.

__________________________

For the end of the assessment:

Congratulations—you’ve made it to the end of your assessment for this course! We hope that you feel proud of the work that you did here because you know that it was your own and no one else’s. Please know that all suspected cases of academic dishonesty will be investigated following the procedures outlined in the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. If you have violated that Code, admitting it now will significantly reduce any penalty you incur if it’s discovered by your instructor later. Admitting your mistakes is as much a matter of pride as never making them from the beginning. Thus, please check the appropriate statement below:

___ I confirm that the work I’ve done here is my own and no one else’s, in line with the principles of scholarship and the University of Toronto’s Code of Behaviour
___ I regret that I violated the Code of Behaviour on this assessment and would like to admit that now so that I can take responsibility for my mistake

I, [Please type full first name, last name, and any initials], University of Toronto student number [Please enter full student number], confirm that my response here is an accurate and true representation of my behaviour, knowing that by signing this declaration untruthfully I will incur an even greater penalty if it is later discovered that I have cheated or behaved dishonestly on this assessment.
10. Appendix 2: Sample Academic Integrity Assignment Checklist

Course code: ________________________________________________________________
Assignment title: _____________________________________________________________
Instructor’s name: _____________________________________________________________

I, ______________________________, affirm that this assignment represents entirely my own efforts.

I confirm that:

☐ I have acknowledged the use of another’s ideas with accurate citations.
☐ If I used the words of another (e.g., author, instructor, information source), I have acknowledged this with quotation marks (or appropriate indentation) and proper citation.
☐ When paraphrasing the work of others, I put the idea into my own words and did not just change a few words or rearrange the sentence structure
☐ I have checked my work against my notes to be sure I have correctly referenced all direct quotes or borrowed ideas.
☐ My bibliography includes only the sources used to complete this assignment.
☐ This is the first time I have submitted this assignment (in whole or in part) for credit.
☐ Any proofreading by another was limited to indicating areas of concern which I then corrected myself.
☐ This is the final version of my assignment and not a draft.
☐ I have kept my work to myself and did not share answers/content with others, unless otherwise directed by my instructor.
☐ I understand the consequences of violating the University’s Academic Integrity policies as outlined in the Code of Behaviour on Academic Matters. [include link]

By submitting this form, I agree that the statements above are true. If I do not agree with the statements above, I will not submit my assignment and will consult the course instructor immediately.

Student name: ______________________________________________________________
Signature: ___________________________________________________________________
Date: _____________________________________________________________________